

MODELING OF AIR POLLUTION DUE TO CARBON MONOXIDE EMISSION FROM VEHICLE EXHAUST



ISSN: 2141 – 3290
www.wojast.com

JOSHUA, E. E. AND BRADFORD, G. I.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria
enobongjay@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Carbon monoxide (CO) is an important air pollutant because of its severe health effects at high concentration. It is an odourless, colourless, tasteless and non-irritating but potentially lethal gas produced from incomplete combustion of liquid, solid and gaseous fuel. In urban centres, the primary source of CO is vehicle exhaust emission from incomplete combustion. Previous studies have shown that a small number of high emitting vehicles are responsible for the majority of the CO emission in most urban areas. In this paper, a mathematical model has been developed using the time-dependent advection-diffusion equation of air pollution to predict the concentration of CO emission from vehicle exhaust into the atmosphere as time increases indefinitely. The mathematical model is solved using numerical methods based on the Crank-Nicolson finite difference scheme. The result shows that as CO flows in the x ; y ; z -axes (considering a 3-dimensional space) with respect to time, diffusion occurs and CO decays in the atmosphere.

INTRODUCTION

Air pollution has been an ongoing problem in many countries of the world. Highway traffic is a major source of air pollution. CO is one of the most common and widely distributed air pollutant gases. This gas can be lethal to human beings within minutes of high concentration exceeding 12800 parts per million (ppm) (Davis and Cornwell 1998; Sadullah *et al.*, 2003). In cities, as much as 95 percent of all CO emission comes from motor vehicle exhausts (U.S. EPA, 1999). Other sources of CO include industrial process, non-transportation fuel combustion and natural sources such as wild fire.

The easiest available study of CO data was done by Colucci and Bageman (1969), who compared CO concentration in Detroit, New York and Los Angeles and found that Los Angeles' high levels are related to frequent atmospheric temperature inversion and lower wind speed. Many CO models have been developed to forecast its concentration and health effect. Several efforts have been made for the development of model of point source and line source, since observational studies show that the wind speed and eddy diffusivity vary with vertical height above the ground (Stull, 1998). The concentration of contaminant released into the air may therefore be described by the time dependent advection - diffusion equation, which is a second order partial differential equation of the parabolic case (Stockie, 2011). Efforts have been exerted on the task of searching analytical solutions for the advection-diffusion equation in order to simulate the pollutant dispersion in the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL). Analytic solutions of the advection-diffusion equation are usually obtained just for stationary conditions and by making strong assumptions about the eddy diffusivity coefficients (K) and wind speed profiles (U). They are assumed as constant throughout the whole ABL or follow a power law (van Ulden, 1978; Pasqual and Smith, 1983; Seinfeld, 1986; Tirabassi, *et al.*, 1986; Sharan *et al.*, 1996). Moriera, *et al.*, (2015) presented a solution of the advection-diffusion equation based on the Laplace transform considering the ABL as a multilayer system. Analytic solution of the advection - diffusion equation with wind speed and vertical eddy diffusivity both as power function of vertical height, bounded by the ABL as well are known for point and line source (Seinfeld, 1986; Lin and Hildemann, 1996). The advection-diffusion equation has also been solved analytically with wind speed as a function of height and eddy diffusivity as a function of downwind distance from the source (Sharan and Modani, 2006).

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = -(U \nabla C + C \nabla U) + D \nabla^2 C - RC \quad (5)$$

Applying the averaging and local closure procedures in which the K – theory is used to reduce equation (5) to a first order equation, results in

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + U \nabla C = \nabla K \nabla C - RC \quad (6)$$

where K is the diffusion coefficient, the K tensor is diagonal, molecular diffusion is negligible and $C(x, y, z, t)$ represents the concentration of carbon monoxide.

Method of solution

Equation (6) can be solved analytically or numerically if input data U, K and RC are provided with initial and boundary conditions. Applying the numerical method based on the Crank – Nicolson finite difference scheme to obtain the solution at different atmospheric boundary conditions and the K – theory, equation (6) becomes;

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(K_x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(K_y \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_z \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right) - RC. \quad (7)$$

Where K_x, K_y and K_z are eddy diffusivities along x, y and z directions respectively.

The following assumptions are made in the solution of equation (7).

- i. The vertical velocity component (w) is neglected in comparison to the horizontal (u and v)
- ii. The x – axis is oriented in the direction of mean wind (i.e. $u = U$ and $v = 0$)
- iii. The lateral flux of carbon monoxide along the cross wind direction is assumed to be small, i.e. $V \frac{\partial C}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(K_y \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) \rightarrow 0$
- iv. Horizontal advection is greater than horizontal diffusion for not too small values of wind velocity, i.e., downwind diffusion is neglected in comparison to transport due to wind speed. i.e., $U \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \gg \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(K_x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right)$.

Application of these conditions reduces equation (7) to

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_z \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right) - RC \quad (8)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = K_z \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} - RC \quad (9)$$

To solve (9), we apply the initial and boundary conditions (the mixed boundary conditions) in which h is the height of the vehicle exhaust from the ground. Thus

$$C(x, y, z, t) = 0 \text{ at } z = h$$

$$K_z \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ at } z = h$$

We apply the numerical method based on the Crank – Nicolson finite difference scheme to solve equation (9). The dependent variable C is a function of independent variables x, y, z, t , where i represents the position of x and z and m represents time. The derivatives of equation (9) are replaced by the arithmetic average of its finite difference approximation at the m th and $(m + 1)$ th time step and the change in x and z at the $(i - 1)$ th, i th and $(i + 1)$ th position.

Define

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m}{\Delta t} \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C_{i+1}^{m+1} - C_{i-1}^{m+1}}{2\Delta x} + \frac{C_{i+1}^m - C_{i-1}^m}{2\Delta x} \right)$$

$$U \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = \frac{U}{4\Delta x} (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - C_{i-1}^m) \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C_{i+1}^{m+1} - 2C_i^{m+1} + C_{i-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta z)^2} + \frac{C_{i+1}^m - 2C_i^m + C_{i-1}^m}{2\Delta x} \right)$$

$$K_z \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} = \frac{K_z}{2(\Delta z)^2} (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - 2C_i^{m+1} + C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - 2C_i^m + C_{i-1}^m) \quad (12)$$

$$C = \frac{1}{2} (C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m)$$

$$RC = \frac{R}{2} (C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m) \quad (13)$$

Substituting the above equations into (9) and multiplying through by Δt gives

$$C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m + \frac{U\Delta t}{4\Delta x} (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - C_{i-1}^m) =$$

$$\frac{K_z \Delta t}{2(\Delta z)^2} (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - 2C_i^{m+1} + C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - 2C_i^m + C_{i-1}^m) - \frac{R\Delta t}{2} (C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m) \quad (14)$$

Let $\lambda = \frac{K_z \Delta t}{2(\Delta z)^2}$, $\alpha = \frac{U\Delta t}{4\Delta x}$, $\beta = \frac{R\Delta t}{2}$.

Substituting α , β and λ into equation (14), we obtain

$$C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m + \alpha (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - C_{i-1}^m) =$$

$$\lambda (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - 2C_i^{m+1} + C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - 2C_i^m + C_{i-1}^m) - \beta (C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m) \quad (15)$$

Simplifying further we obtain

$$-C_i^m (1 - 2\lambda + \beta) + C_i^{m+1} (1 + 2\lambda + \beta) - C_{i-1}^m (\alpha + \lambda) - C_{i-1}^m (\alpha + \lambda)$$

$$+ C_{i+1}^m (\alpha - \lambda) + C_{i+1}^m (\alpha - \lambda) = 0 \quad (16)$$

Let $A = 1 + 2\lambda - \beta$, $B = 1 + 2\lambda + \beta$, $D = \alpha + \lambda$, $E = \alpha - \lambda$; substituting A, B, D and E into (16) yields

$$-AC_i^m + BC_i^{m+1} - DC_{i-1}^m - DC_{i-1}^{m+1} + EC_{i+1}^m + EC_{i+1}^{m+1} = 0 \quad (17)$$

Applying the boundary conditions to (17), that is,

$$C(x, y, z, t) = 0 \text{ at } z = 0 \text{ and } K_z \frac{\partial C(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ at } z = h.$$

But $C = \frac{1}{2} (C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m)$ and $K_z \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} = \frac{K_z}{2(\Delta z)^2} (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - 2C_i^{m+1} + C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - 2C_i^m + C_{i-1}^m)$

Let $\chi = \frac{K_z}{2(\Delta z)^2}$

So that at $z = 0$, $\frac{1}{2} (C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m) = 0$ i.e., $BC_i^{m+1} - AC_i^m = 0$ (18)

Also at $z = h$, $\chi (C_{i+1}^{m+1} - 2C_i^{m+1} + C_{i-1}^{m+1} + C_{i+1}^m - 2C_i^m + C_{i-1}^m) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow -DC_{i-1}^m - DC_{i-1}^{m+1} + EC_{i+1}^m + EC_{i+1}^{m+1} = 0 \quad (19)$$

From (18) and (19),

$$\Rightarrow BC_i^{m+1} - AC_i^m \equiv \frac{1}{2}(C_i^{m+1} - C_i^m) \equiv C(x, y, z) \equiv 0 \quad (20)$$

Therefore $C(x, y, z) \rightarrow 0$ as $x, y, z \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$

The result in equation (20) shows that as CO moves in the x, y, z axes with respect to time, diffusion occurs and CO decays in the atmosphere.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The mathematical modeling equation (6) to predict the concentration of carbon monoxide emission from motor vehicle exhaust into the atmosphere with respect to time was built from the advection-diffusion equation for air pollutants. The equation was solved using the Crank-Nicolson finite difference scheme. It is observed that the concentration of carbon monoxide, $C(x, y, z)$, reduces as it flows in the atmosphere over a period of time due to wind speed and turbulence.

CONCLUSION

In developed and developing countries, traffic emissions are major contributors to poor urban air quality. A number of recent applications have been specifically developed to assess the contribution of traffic to air pollution. The common approach has been to consider traffic as exogenous information that has loose or no connection with land-use activities. The increasing use of automobiles and the consequent increase in the ambient air pollution necessitates modeling the concentration of carbon monoxide emission from motor vehicle exhaust, which is a point source model. The model despite a few limitations on its prediction and assumptions can be used for both regulatory process and for developing clean air strategies. The model is employed for the prediction of long-term average concentration of carbon monoxide in the atmosphere. It demands various boundary conditions, assumptions and development of formulation with physical conditions.

REFERENCES

- Buske, Daniela, Vilhena, Túllio Marco, Tirabassi, Tiziano and Bodmann, Bard (2012). Air Pollution Steady-State Advection-Diffusion Equation: The General Three-Dimensional Solution. *Journal of Environmental Protection*. 3, 1124-1134. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jep.2012.329131>.
- Choo-in, S. (2001). Mathematical model for determining carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxide concentration in street tunnel. Master's thesis, Thammasat University, Thailand.
- Colucci, J. M. and Begeman, C. R. (1969). Carbon monoxide in Detroit, New York, and Los Angeles Air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 3(1):41-47.
- Davis, M. L. and Cornwell, D. A. (1998). *Introduction to Environmental Engineering*. WCB, McGraw-Hill, New York, 3rd edition.
- Dehghan, M. (2004). Numerical solution of the three-dimensional advection-diffusion equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, pages 5-19.
- EPA, U. S. (1999). *Air quality index Reporting Final Rule 1999*. Federal Register, Vol.64. No.149. 40, CFR Part 58.
- Fadugba, S.E., Edogbanya, O. H., Zelibe, S.C. (2013). Crank Nicolson Method for Solving Parabolic Partial Differential Equations. *Int. J. of Applied Math and Modeling*, 1(3), 8-23.
- Goyal, P. and Kumar, A. (2011). *Mathematical Modeling of Air Pollutant: An application to Indian Urban City*. Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, Indian.
- Hickman, A. J., Bevan, M. C., and Colwill, D. M. (1976). Atmospheric pollution from vehicle emissions: Measurements of four sites in Coventry. *Technical Report 695*, Transport and Road Research Laboratory, Department of Environment, Crowthorne, UK.
- Hindmarsh, A. C., Gresho, P. M., and Griths, D. F. (1984). The stability of explicit Euler time-integration for certain finite difference approximations of the multi-dimensional advection-diffusion equation. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids*, 4:853-897.

- Lin, J. S. and Hildemann, L. M. (1996). Analytical solutions of the atmospheric diffusion equation with multiple sources and height-dependent wind speed and eddy diffusivity. *Atmospheric Environment*, 30:239-254.
- Meng, Y. and Niemeier, D. (1998). Project level carbon monoxide hot-spot analysis for level of service and intersections. *Transp. Res. Rec.*, 1641(1):73-80.
- Moreira, D. M., Tirabbasi, T., and Albuquerque, T. (2015). On Analytic Formulations for Pollutant Dispersion Simulation in Atmospheric Boundary Layer. Online book chapter <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/60023>
- Moseholm, L., Silva, J., and Larson, T. (1996). Forecasting carbon monoxide concentrations near a sheltered intersection using video traffic surveillance and neural networks. *Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ*, 1(1):15-28.
- Nigam, S., Nigam, R., Kulshrestha, M., and Mittal, S. (2010). Carbon Monoxide Modeling Studies: A Review. NRC Research Press.
- NRC (2000). *Modeling mobile-source emissions*. National Research Council U.S., Washington, National Academy Press.
- Sadullah, A.F.M. and Yahaya, N. and Latif, S. (2003). Air pollution from motor vehicles - a mathematical model analysis: Case study in Ipoh city, Perak, Malaysia. *J. East. Asia Soc. Transp. Stud.*, 5:2367-2381.
- Seinfeld, J. H. (1986). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics of Air Pollution*. John Wiley and Sons (New York).
- Sharan, M. and Kumar, P. (2009). An analytical model for crosswind integrated concentration released from a continuous source in a finite atmospheric boundary layer. *Atmospheric Environment*, 43:2268-2273.
- Sharan, M. and Modani, M. (2006). A two-dimensional analytical model for the dispersion of air-pollutants in the atmosphere with a capping inversion. *Atmospheric Environment*, 40:3479-3489.
- Sousa, E. (2003). The Controversial Stability Analysis. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 145:777-794.
- Stockie, John M. (2011). The Mathematics of Atmospheric Dispersion Modeling. *SIAM Review*. 53(2):349-372. Doi.10.1137/10080991X.
- Stull, R. B. (1998). *An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology*. Number 666. Kluwer Academic.
- Zhou, H. and Sperling, D. (2001). Traffic emission pollution sampling and analysis on urban streets with high-rising buildings. *Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ*, 6(4):269-281.